184 . See

LIBERALIZED RETIREMENT



_™ ぱっ "

Tab D

STAFF STUDY OF LEGISLATIVE TASK FORCE



6 **5**3 -

Tab Dl

LIBERALIZED RETIREMENT FOR CIA PERSONNEL

1. PROBLEM

The proposal that a liberalized retirement system be adopted for CTA employees raises the following questions:

- a. Do the duties of these employees vary to such a degree from the duties of the average Government employee as to warrant a more liberal retirement system?
- b. Assuming that their duties subject them to unusual working conditions, is a liberalized retirement system a feasible method of affording them compensation?
- o. Inasmich as legislation would be required to effect a change in their retirement benefits, should this legislation take the form of (1) a CIA retirement act, or (2) an amendment to the Civil Service Retirement Act?
- What factors should be considered in computing retirement banefits?
- factors? What weight should be given to the applicable retirement

2. ASSUMPTION

is assumed that a liberalized retirement system could not be justified for CIA personnel purely on the basis of their CIA employment. Although their duties subject them to unusual security restrictions, this situation is not so unusual in Government agencies as to warrant special retirement benefit consideration. Consequently, this study is confined to consideration of a liberalized retirement system for CIA employees whose duties subject them to working conditions which are substantially different from those of the average recipient of Civil Service retirement benefits.

3. FACTS BEARING ON THE PROBLEM

- a. A "Committee on Retirement Policy for Federal Personnel," commissioned by the Congress, under the leadership of Eliot Kaplan is currently engaged in a study of the entire Government retirement system. Its findings might very well affect any legislation which CIA requests for its employees.
- Precedent exists for liberalized retirement systems for Covernment employees whose working conditions are somewhat comparable to those

of a substantial number of CIA employees in Section 691(d) of 5 U. S. Code Annotated for persons engaged in the investigation and apprehension of criminals (for example, FBI Agents) and in the Foreign Service Act of 1946 for Foreign Service Officers.

L. DISCUSSION

- 8. Do the duties of CIA employees vary to such a degree from the duties of other Government employees as to warrant a more liberalized retirement system?
 - (1) The duties of a substantial number of CIA employees vary from the duties of the average recipient of Civil Service retirement benefits in the following respects:
 - (a) Some are from time to time engaged in activities which might properly be defined as hexardous duty.
 - (b) Some serve overseas intermittently or for extended periods of time under clandestine conditions which limit them in the pursuit of normal living and sometimes expose them to hazardous conditions.
 - (c) Some serve overseas at posts which might properly be defined as "unhealthful"
 - (2) While it is recognized that many civilian employees of other Government agencies, for example, Department of Defense, Department of State, et al, serve overseas without special retirement benefits, it must also be recognized that CIA employees serving abroad differ from them in the following respects:

(b) They are members of a career service which might require them to serve at any post throughout the world as operational need dictates.

- (c) As employees of CIA they are likely targets of foreign intelligence services and, as such, are subject to unusual hazards.
- (3) It must be further recognized that the type of clandestine activity in which many CIA employees are engaged overseas requires a combination of mental, physical, and psychological characteristics

25X1

which are found in diminishing proportions as employees advance past age 50 and particularly in those employees who have been obgaged in such activity for an extended period of time. For this reason, retirement at an earlier age than permitted by the Civil Service Retirement Act for such employees is a factor which, for the benefit of the service, should be considered in weighing justification. Apart from the benefit to the service which could be achieved by encouraging early retirement of some employees, the intangible factor of esprit de corps which is derived in part from separate and unique benefits and which is no important as an incentive to the performance of duties overseas which are of an unusual and sometimes semihazardous nature, must also be given recognition.

- b. Assuming that the duties of certain CIA employees subject them to unusual working conditions, is a liberalized retirement system a feasible method of affording them compensation?
 - (1) Precedent exists for liberalized retirement systems for FBI Agents and certain other Government employees because of hazardous duty, and for Foreign Service Officers presumably because of their eligibility for continuous overseas service. It must be pointed but, however, that FBI Agents receive no compensation for their massual type of duty other than special retirement benefits and that Foreign Service Officers, although receiving special retirement benefits, are disqualified for post differential compensation which accrues to other Department of State employees serving overseas.
 - (2) Considering overseas duty under unusual working condilines as a factor which distinguishes CIA employees from other
 recipients of Civil Service retirement benefits, it should be
 recognized that if the acquisition of liberalized retirement
 constits resulted in disqualifying them in any way from the
 rimancial benefits now accruing to such services, the achievement would be of little worth and definitely negative as a morale
 factor. While this thought might seem to indicate that CIA would
 be requesting greater benefits than accrue to recipients of other
 liberalized retirement systems, the proposals of this paper as
 recognized discussed are actually more conservative than the
 retirement provisions of either the Foreign Service Act of 1916
 or Section 691(d) of 5 U. S. Code Annotated, which applies to FBI
 Agents and other persons engaged in the investigation and apprelinesion of criminals.
 - (3) In weighing the feasibility of applying liberalized retirement benefits as compensation for the performance of hazerdous duty, as such, the following obstacles present themselves:

≈ 56 ∞

Tab Dl

- (a) The difficulty of defining clearly what constitutes hazardous duty and then describing it adequately in any proposed legislation.
- (b) The difficulty of applying the definition on a practical basis as a factor in computing creditable service for a reduced retirement age, e.g., the intermittent performance of hazardous duty could not be measured on a length of service basis.
- (4) While recognizing that some employees of the Office of Training, Security, and TSS perform hazardous duty in the United States, it is felt that provisions should be made for their compensation by some method other than liberalized retirement benefits.
- (5) It would appear, then, that a liberalized retirement system is a feasible manner of compensating for duty overseas ander unusual conditions but is not feasible in CIA as a compensation for hazardous duty as such.
- e. Inasmuch as legislation would be required to effect a change in CIA retirement benefits, should this legislation take the form of a CIA retirement act, or an amendment to the Civil Service Retirement Act?
 - (1) Basic to the proposal for new legislation which would affect CIA must be the consideration of whether or not the new legislation might in any way restrict the existing authority of the DCI. Caution must be exercised to assure that what appears to be a gain in benefits to CIA employees does not result in an execusionance on the Director's powers.
 - (2) The advantages of proposed legislation in the form of a CIA retirement act lay in the fact that such an act would allow for the internal administration of the system without reference to the authority or review of the Civil Service Commission.
 - (3) The advantages of requesting amendment to the Civil Service Retirement Act would lay in the fact that advantage could be taken of the existing framework which could be modified only to the extent which the Agency desired. The individual mechanics of such items as "annuities to survivors," "return of deposits," "reduced annuities" would not have to be spelled out as they would if an entire retirement system were adopted.
 - (4) The advantages of an amendment to the Civil Service Ratirement Act appear to outweigh those of a CIA retirement act principally on the basis of simplicity of application.

d. What factors should be considered in computing retirement benefits?

In pursuing the thought expressed in paragraph 4b above that compensation by way of retirement benefits for hazardous duty did not appear to be feasible, it should not, therefore, be used in the computation of retirement benefits. The following factors merit consideration as the basis for computing retirement benefits:

- (1) Total length of service.
- (2) Length of service overseas.
- (3) Length of service overseas at an unhealthful post or posts, as designated by the President under the provisions of Section 853 of the Foreign Service Act of 1946.
 - (h) Age
- What weight should be given to applicable factors?
- (1) Obviously the details to which the mechanics of the adopted system should extend would depend on whether the legislation would take the form of a CIA retirement act, or an admendment to the Civil Service Retirement Act. This discussion is not intended to outline in detail all the provisions of a separate CIA system but will earline itself to the suggested weights which might be applied to the various factors which might effect retirement.
- (2) If there is validity in the idea that certain CIA employees the are engaged in activities which require qualifications found to a lesser degree in persons over 50, it would seem that using the minimum voluntary age of 60 (as prescribed by the Civil Service Retirement Act) as a basis, credit could be given on applicable factors to progressively reduce this age requirement to a point not less than age 55. Further, using the 30 year minimum length of service requirement of the Civil Service Retirement Act as a basis, credit could be given on applicable factors to reduce the requirement to 25 or even 20 years service. The following is a suggested table of weights to achieve the above requirements:

For each year of service overseas - 18 months creditable service

For each year at an unhealthful post - 2 years creditable service

For each year of service overseas - 6 months credit toward reducing the voluntary retirement age

For each year of service at an unhealthful post a 8 months credit toward reducing the voluntary retirement age

(3) The application of such a table of weights would result in a much rore conservative retirement system than enjoyed by either For ign Service Officers or federal personnel engaged in the investigation and apprehension of criminals, e.g., FBI Agents.

5. CONCLUSION

- a. Argum nts establishing justification for a liberalized retirement system for CTA employees engaged in certain types of activities are sufficiently co-clusive to warrant a request for legislation.
- to CIA employee for unusual working conditions is feasible providing that in acquiring such benefits the recipients are not disqualified in any way from receiving compensatory benefits which now accrue to them for duty coerseas.
- The proposed legislation should take the form of a request to amend the Civil Service Retirement Act.
- d. "Hasardous duty" should not be considered in itself as a retirement benefit factor but should be used in justifying the use of other factors which might be more practically applicable. Age, total length of service, length of service overseas, and length of service at an un-healthful post should be considered as practical factors for computing retirement benefits.
- o. Practical conservative weight standards can be applied to the factors which might qualify CIA employees for special retirement benefits.

SECREE

JUSTIFICATION



- 60 -

Tab D2

SECRET

LIBERALIZED RETIREMENT FOR CIA PERSONNEL

This is a request for appropriate legislation to authorize a more equitable retirement plan for employees of the Central Intelligence Agency who are required to perform their duties under circumstances considered in many respects to be unique in the civilian Federal service.

This proposal was submitted to Mr. H. Eliot Kaplan, Chairman of the Committee on Retirement Policy for Federal Personnel on 14 December 1953 for the consideration of that group.

This Agency has instituted a professional career service, which involves, among other things, a concept that employees are required to serve where and when they are needed in the best interest of Agency activities. In performing their assigned duties, many of these employees frequently are subjected to conditions which differ markedly from those generally typical of Federal employment. In the course of their careers with this Agency many employees will serve overseas under various cover restrictions which have an abnormal influence on their living habits. These individuals are likely targets of forces inimical to the best interests of the United States and are thus continually subject to potential hazard. In the event of war or civil disturbance in their area of assignment, they become immediately susceptible to attack or seisure. In addition to these general hazards, many of the Agency employees are required to perform dutles which are hazardous in themselves. Also, certain of our personnel are required to serve at unhealthful posts in the course of their career with the Agency.

The overseas activities of many CIA employees require a combination of mantal, physical and psychological characteristics which are found in diminishing proportions as employees advance in age. This is particularly true among employees who have been engaged in such activities for an extended period of time. In order to permit an infusion into the organization of younger personnel who have the desired qualifications, and also to permit the equitable separation of older personnel, the older Agency employees should be permitted to retire at an earlier age than would be possible under the current Civil Service Retirement Act, and this retirement should be on the basis of full annuity. Retirement on a full annuity basis is considered equitable since many of these individuals would suffer financial hardship in converting to other employment, considering the specialized nature of their official activities and the limitations imposed on divulging any information pertaining to these activities.

Accordingly, it is recommended that legislation be enacted to permit the retirement of the personnel referred to above on full annuity at an earlier age than is permitted under the existing Civil Service Retirement Act. It is recommended that, within the general framework of the present Civil Service Retirement Act, personnel serving under the conditions

- 61 **-**

Tab D2

previously indicated receive extra service credits. Under the attached proposal, an individual's age requirement for voluntary retirement would be reduced by six months for each year of his overseas service. Moreover, the age requirement for his voluntary retirement would be reduced an additional two months for each year of such service at an unhealthful post. In computing years of creditable service for retirement purposes, an employee would receive a credit of 12 years for each year of his overseas service and an additional credit of 2 year for each year of such service at an unhealthful post.

This request is consistent with the precedents established by the Congress in authorizing liberalized retirement plans for certain other groups having similar requirements within the Federal service. The Congress has authorized liberalized retirement plans for Foreign Service personnel and for personnel whose duties involve the investigation, apprehension or detention of persons suspected or convicted of offenses against the criminal laws of the United States. Under the Foreign Service Act, Foreign Service officers having twenty years of service who have reached the age of fifty years are entitled to retirement. Such individuals are also granted additional service credit for time spent at unhealthful posts. Special retirement for investigative personnel is provided by the Civil Service Retirement Act of 1930, as amended, which provides that such personnel may retire at age 50 when they have rendered at least 20 years of service. This Agency believes that a special retirement plan for certain of our personnel is consistent with the intent of Congress as expressed in the two pieces of legislation referred to.

Although the retirement plan proposed by this Agency is more liberal than that presently authorized by the Civil Service Retirement Act, it is less liberal than the retirement plans of the Foreign Service, or of the military services. Since a given employee in the course of his employment with the Agency would serve overseas only a portion of his time, retirement at an extremely early age would almost certainly be precluded.